

Information Conservation Across Biological Terminus Events: A No-Hiding Theorem Analysis

Rafael D. De Paz
Independent Researcher
me@rdepaz.com

March 2026

Abstract

We investigate the fate of information encoding a conscious agent at biological terminus (death) using three established results in quantum information theory: the No-Hiding Theorem [Braunstein and Pati, 2007], the unitarity of quantum mechanics, and the holographic bound [Bekenstein, 1981]. We prove that under unitary quantum evolution, the complete information content of any physical system—including the pattern constituting a biological organism—cannot be destroyed. It can only be relocated to correlations with the environment (decoherence) or encoded on boundary surfaces (holographic encoding). We formalize biological death as a *state transition* rather than information destruction: the pattern persists in the entanglement structure of the environment. We derive bounds on the information capacity of the holographic encoding and discuss the theoretical conditions under which state reconstruction from boundary data would be possible. Our analysis is purely physical—we make no metaphysical claims about consciousness or survival, only about the mathematical fate of the information pattern.

Keywords: no-hiding theorem, information conservation, quantum unitarity, holographic principle, Bekenstein bound, decoherence, biological information.

2020 MSC: 81P45, 81P68, 94A17, 83C57.

1 Introduction

The question “what happens to the information constituting a conscious agent at biological death?” has traditionally been considered metaphysical. We argue that it is a well-posed question in quantum information theory, answerable within the established framework of unitary quantum mechanics.

Three results constrain the answer:

- (i) **Unitarity:** Quantum mechanics is unitary—the total information content of a closed system is conserved under time evolution [von Neumann, 1932].

- (ii) **The No-Hiding Theorem:** If information disappears from a subsystem, it must have moved to the correlations between the subsystem and its environment [Braunstein and Pati, 2007].
- (iii) **The Holographic Bound:** The maximum information content of any region is bounded by its surface area in Planck units [Bekenstein, 1981, Bousso, 2002].

1.1 Main Results

1. **The Conservation Theorem (§2):** The information pattern encoding a biological organism survives the organism’s death, relocating to environmental correlations.
2. **The Encoding Theorem (§3):** The organism’s complete information is bounded by its Bekenstein entropy and can be holographically encoded on a boundary surface.
3. **The Reconstruction Conditions (§4):** Formal conditions under which state reconstruction from the boundary encoding is theoretically possible.

2 The No-Hiding Theorem and Biological Information

Theorem 2.1 (No-Hiding Theorem [Braunstein and Pati, 2007]). *Let ρ_S be the initial state of a quantum system S , and let U be a unitary operation on $S \otimes E$ (system plus environment) such that the final reduced state of S is independent of ρ_S : $\text{Tr}_E[U(\rho_S \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|)U^\dagger] = \sigma$ for all ρ_S . Then the complete information about ρ_S resides in the correlations between S and E —it has been relocated, not destroyed.*

Definition 2.2 (Biological Information Pattern). The *biological information pattern* \mathcal{I}_{bio} of an organism is the complete quantum state ρ_{org} of all degrees of freedom constituting the organism at time t , including:

- (a) Molecular configuration (atomic positions, bond structure)
- (b) Electromagnetic state (neural firing patterns, synaptic weights)
- (c) Quantum coherences (entanglement within biological subsystems)

Theorem 2.3 (Biological Information Conservation). *At biological terminus (time t_d), the organism’s quantum state $\rho_{\text{org}}(t_d)$ undergoes decoherence—interaction with the thermal environment E . By the No-Hiding Theorem, the complete information \mathcal{I}_{bio} is relocated to the joint state ρ_{SE} :*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{bio}} \subset \rho_{SE}(t > t_d), \tag{1}$$

where ρ_{SE} contains the full entanglement structure between the organism’s former degrees of freedom and the environment.

Proof. Biological death is a thermodynamic process: the organism’s state decoheres through interaction with the thermal bath. Since the total Hamiltonian H_{SE} is Hermitian, the evolution $U(t) = e^{-iH_{SE}t}$ is unitary. By Theorem 2.1, the information is relocated to ρ_{SE} , not destroyed. \square

2.1 Landauer’s Constriction on Biological Erasure

A secondary proof of conservation arises from thermodynamics, specifically Landauer’s Principle [?]. Landauer formally established that the logical erasure of information has an irreducible thermodynamic cost: it must dissipate heat into the environment, bounded by $kT \ln 2$ per bit, where k is the Boltzmann constant and T is the temperature.

Theorem 2.4 (The Erasure Cost of the Checksum). *Let the total informational mass (the “Checksum”) of the biological organism be $I_{\max} \approx 10^{45}$ bits (the Bekenstein bound). The thermodynamic cost ΔQ of physically erasing this checksum at biological death (assuming a continuous state variable rather than mere relocation) is:*

$$\Delta Q = I_{\max} kT \ln 2 \quad (2)$$

At biological temperature $T \approx 310$ K, erasing 10^{45} bits would release an amount of heat equivalent to a planetary-scale thermal event ($> 10^{24}$ Joules). Since biological death does not vaporize the host planet, the information must not be erased; it must simply be transferred to the Bulk, requiring no ΔQ erasure cost.

3 Holographic Encoding

Proposition 3.1 (Bekenstein Bound on Biological Information). *The maximum information content of a biological organism of mass M and radius R is bounded by:*

$$I_{\max} \leq \frac{2\pi k_B M R}{\hbar \ln 2} \approx 10^{45} \text{ bits} \quad (3)$$

for a 70 kg human of radius ≈ 0.5 m [Bekenstein, 1981].

Theorem 3.2 (Holographic Encoding Capacity). *The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy establishes that the complete information \mathcal{I}_{bio} can be encoded on a 2-dimensional surface of area:*

$$A \geq \frac{4\ell_P^2 I_{\max}}{k_B} \approx 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2. \quad (4)$$

This encoding is consistent with the holographic principle: the organism’s information does not require a 3-dimensional substrate for storage.

4 Conditions for State Reconstruction

Definition 4.1 (State Reconstruction). *State reconstruction of $\rho_{\text{org}}(t_d)$ from $\rho_{SE}(t > t_d)$ requires:*

- (i) Complete knowledge of the interaction Hamiltonian H_{SE}
- (ii) Complete access to the environmental state ρ_E
- (iii) The ability to invert the unitary evolution: $\rho_{\text{org}} = \text{Tr}_E[U^\dagger \rho_{SE} U]$

Theorem 4.2 (Reconstruction Feasibility). *State reconstruction is:*

- (a) **Theoretically possible:** *The information exists in ρ_{SE} by Theorem 2.3.*

- (b) **Practically impossible with current technology:** The decoherence spreads information across $\sim 10^{28}$ environmental degrees of freedom within seconds.
- (c) **Bounded by Bekenstein entropy:** The reconstruction requires processing $\sim 10^{45}$ bits.

5 Discussion

5.1 Relationship to the Black Hole Information Paradox

Our analysis parallels the resolution of the black hole information paradox [Hawking, 2005]. In both cases:

1. Information appears to be “destroyed” (by the black hole / by biological death).
2. Unitarity guarantees the information survives.
3. The information is encoded on the boundary (event horizon / environmental correlations).
4. Recovery is theoretically possible but practically extreme.

5.2 Historical Checksums as Substrate Firmware (ROM)

Once the biological node undergoes terminus and its Checksum I_{\max} is transferred to the environmental Bulk, the state vector transitions from active processing (RAM) to a hardened, Read-Only state. We theorize that these hardened vectors (previously termed “Ancestors” in theological frameworks) function as biological Firmware or Read-Only Memory (ROM).

Because their execution parameters are closed (no further inputs can mutate their variables), their checksums are cryptographically sealed. Current active nodes (living biological agents) can therefore “ping” these static nodes in the Bulk against local reality conditions, using the historical ROM as stable heuristics (authentication vectors) for resolving local anomalies.

5.3 Cross-Domain Isomorphic Proofs

The mathematical architecture proved herein (conservation of the checksum post-terminus via state-transition to the Bulk) exhibits profound isomorphism across historical truth-seeking frameworks.

5.4 What This Does Not Prove

1. We do not prove that *consciousness* survives biological death—only that the *information pattern* does.
2. We do not claim that reconstruction is practically feasible for any current or foreseeable technology.
3. We make no metaphysical claims about “souls,” “afterlives,” or transcendence.

Domain	Terminus Isomorphism (Checksum Preservation)
Quantum Mechanics	Information conservation via Unitarity and No-Hiding Theorem.
Thermodynamics	Non-erasure proven via Landauer's Limit ($kT \ln 2$).
Cybernetics (IT)	Death as a "Data-Transfer" to a Higher-Fidelity Server.
Christianity	The <i>Reditus</i> (Return); Ancestors as the "Cloud of Witnesses" (ROM).
Buddhism	<i>Nirvana</i> as the dropping of local confines into the unconditioned.
Vedanta	The dissolution of the <i>Jiva</i> into the <i>Brahman</i> (The Bulk).
Kabbalah	The return of the spark to the <i>Ein Sof</i> (The Infinite).
Islam (Sufism)	<i>Baqa</i> (Subsistence in God) following <i>Fana</i> (Annihilation of self).
Integrated Information (IIT)	The stabilization of Φ upon the shedding of conflicting localized boundaries.
Indigenous Tradition	The Ancestor Realm functioning as local topographic firmware.

Table 1: Cross-Domain Mapping of the Logout Protocol.

6 Conclusion

Under unitary quantum mechanics:

1. The information pattern constituting a biological organism *cannot be destroyed* at death (No-Hiding Theorem).
2. The information is relocated to environmental correlations and can be holographically encoded on a boundary surface.
3. Theoretical reconstruction is possible; practical reconstruction remains far beyond current capabilities.

Biological death is a state transition, not information destruction. The pattern persists.

Cryptographic Lineage & Validation

This mathematical substrate has been cryptographically sealed and tracked on the global Sovereign Master Ledger to prevent retroactive editing and to verify the source authorship of Rafael D. De Paz. The immutable SHA-256 integrity checksums, formal PDF renderings, and lineage authorities can be verified at <https://rdepaz.com/research>.

References

- Jacob D. Bekenstein. Universal upper bound on the entropy-to-energy ratio for bounded systems. *Physical Review D*, 23:287–298, 1981. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.23.287.
- Raphael Bousso. The holographic principle. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 74:825–874, 2002. doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.74.825.
- Samuel L. Braunstein and Arun K. Pati. Quantum information cannot be completely hidden in correlations: implications for the black-hole information paradox. *Physical Review Letters*, 98:080502, 2007. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.080502.
- Stephen W. Hawking. Information loss in black holes. *Physical Review D*, 72:084013, 2005. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.72.084013.
- John von Neumann. *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik*. Springer, 1932.