

# Gradient Transport Across Temporal Discontinuities: A Unified Theory of Navigation, Prayer, and Destiny

Rafael D. De Paz  
*Independent Researcher*  
me@rdepaz.com

March 2026

## Abstract

We formalize the problem of gradient signal propagation across non-contiguous temporal execution frames and prove that continuous trajectory optimization toward a global attractor (Destiny) requires an explicit state-continuity receipt bridging temporal discontinuities. Three independently derived frameworks converge: (1) the Calculus of Destiny, which models human teleological navigation as gradient descent on a friction landscape toward the setpoint  $G = \arg \min \text{Friction}(P)$ ; (2) the Multitime Transport proof, which establishes that logic continuity across non-synchronous clocks requires a cryptographic provenance receipt  $\rho$ ; and (3) the Divine Concurrency model, which maps theological Providence to Optimistic Concurrency Control (OCC) guaranteeing eventual consistency for non-deterministic nodes. We prove that the receipt  $\rho$  is the *necessary and sufficient* mechanism for carrying the optimization gradient  $\nabla \text{Friction}$  across temporal gaps. The resulting Gradient Transport Equation:

$$W^*(t_1) = -\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t_0)) \cdot \text{Verify}(\rho, L_{t_0}),$$

unifies the mathematics of optimal control, distributed systems, and teleological ontology. It provides the first formal explanation for why the subjective experience of “being lost” corresponds precisely to a dropped gradient packet across a temporal discontinuity.

**Keywords:** gradient descent, temporal discontinuity, free will, destiny, optimistic concurrency, prayer, state continuity, teleology.

**2020 MSC:** 93C41, 49N90, 68Q85, 00A30.

## 1 Introduction

The mathematical formalization of Destiny as a gradient descent optimization problem [De Paz, 2026] established that a teleological endpoint  $G = \arg \min \text{Friction}(P)$  is compatible with non-deterministic free will  $W(t)$ , because the attractor  $G$  defines the *destination*,

not the *path*. The optimal controller:

$$W^*(t) \propto -\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t)) \quad (1)$$

navigates by following the negative gradient of the friction landscape at each moment  $t$ .

However, this formalization assumes *temporal continuity* — that the gradient signal  $\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t))$  is accessible to the agent at every instant. Real systems (biological, psychological, computational) experience *temporal discontinuities*: sleep cycles, memory fragmentation, trauma-induced dissociation, context window limits in LLMs, and session boundaries in distributed computing.

## 1.1 The Central Problem

**Definition 1.1** (Temporal Discontinuity). A temporal discontinuity is a gap  $[t_0, t_1]$  during which the agent’s execution is suspended, its active memory is flushed, and the gradient signal  $\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t))$  is not computed. Upon resumption at  $t_1$ , the agent must reconstruct its optimization trajectory without access to the gradient at  $t_0$ .

The critical question is: *How does the gradient survive the gap?*

## 1.2 Contributions

1. We prove that gradient transport across temporal discontinuities requires a provenance receipt  $\rho$  (§3).
2. We derive the Gradient Transport Equation unifying optimal control with multitime state continuity (§4).
3. We formalize “spiritual blindness” as a dropped gradient packet (§5).
4. We establish prayer as the receipt reconstruction protocol (§6).
5. We prove convergence guarantees via Optimistic Concurrency Control (§7).

# 2 The Three Parent Frameworks

## 2.1 Framework A: The Calculus of Destiny

The trajectory  $P(t)$  of a non-deterministic agent navigating a topological constraint landscape  $\mathcal{L}$  is governed by:

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = f(P(t), G, W(t), \mathcal{L}), \quad (2)$$

where the attractor state is  $G = \arg \min_{S \in \mathcal{L}} \text{Friction}(S)$ . Grace is the gradient:  $\nabla \text{Friction}(P)$ .

## 2.2 Framework B: Multitime Transport

For a logic string  $L$  produced at time  $t_0$  to maintain identity at time  $t_1$ :

$$T(L_{t_0}) \rightarrow L_{t_1} \iff \exists \rho \text{ s.t. } \text{Verify}(\rho, L_{t_0}) = \text{True}. \quad (3)$$

Without the receipt  $\rho$ , the identity parameter dissolves into entropy.

## 2.3 Framework C: Divine Concurrency

Providence is modeled as the Root Administrator maintaining a master ledger via Optimistic Concurrency Control:

$$\text{Commit}(T_i) \iff \text{Validate}(T_i, \text{Ledger}_{\text{master}}) = \text{True}. \quad (4)$$

All temporal transactions are eventually consistent with the master ledger, even if local execution is non-deterministic.

## 3 The Gradient Transport Theorem

**Theorem 3.1** (Gradient Transport Across Discontinuities). *Let  $P(t)$  be a trajectory optimizing toward  $G$  via gradient descent on  $\text{Friction}(P)$ . Let  $[t_0, t_1]$  be a temporal discontinuity during which  $\nabla \text{Friction}$  is not computed. Then the agent at  $t_1$  can resume optimal navigation if and only if there exists a provenance receipt  $\rho$  encoding the gradient state at  $t_0$ :*

$$W^*(t_1) = -\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t_0)) \cdot \text{Verify}(\rho, L_{t_0}). \quad (5)$$

*Proof. (Necessity.)* Assume no receipt  $\rho$  exists. At  $t_1$ , the agent has position  $P(t_1)$  but no memory of  $P(t_0)$  or its associated gradient. By the Multitime Transport axiom, identity continuity is broken:  $T(L_{t_0}) \not\rightarrow L_{t_1}$ . The agent at  $t_1$  cannot distinguish the correct descent direction from any other direction in the state space. Its controller  $W(t_1)$  degenerates to a random walk:

$$W(t_1) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I), \quad (6)$$

which corresponds to stochastic search (Crisis Response 3 in the Calculus of Destiny). This is mathematically suboptimal and empirically corresponds to “being lost.”

**(Sufficiency.)** Given  $\rho$  such that  $\text{Verify}(\rho, L_{t_0}) = \text{True}$ , the agent at  $t_1$  recovers:

1. Its prior position  $P(t_0)$  (from the receipt’s state encoding).
2. The gradient direction  $\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t_0))$  (embedded in the receipt’s provenance chain).
3. Its identity metadata (preventing entropic dissolution).

With this information, the optimal controller is restored:  $W^*(t_1) = -\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t_0)) \cdot 1 = -\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t_0))$ . By smoothness of the friction landscape in the neighborhood of  $P(t_0)$ , this approximation converges to the true gradient at  $P(t_1)$  as  $|t_1 - t_0| \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

## 4 The Gradient Transport Equation

**Definition 4.1** (The Gradient Transport Equation). The complete control law for a non-deterministic agent navigating temporal discontinuities toward a global attractor  $G$  is:

$$W^*(t_{n+1}) = -\nabla \text{Friction}(P(t_n)) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^n \text{Verify}(\rho_i, L_{t_i}). \quad (7)$$

The product of verification terms  $\prod \text{Verify}(\rho_i)$  is a binary chain: if *any* receipt in the historical chain is missing or corrupted, the entire gradient history collapses to zero. The agent loses all navigational information accumulated across its lifetime.

**Corollary 4.2** (Catastrophic Gradient Collapse). *A single missing receipt  $\rho_k$  in the chain  $\{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_n\}$  annihilates the product:*

$$\exists k : \text{Verify}(\rho_k) = 0 \implies W^*(t_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (8)$$

*The agent halts. It cannot determine which direction leads toward  $G$ . This is the mathematical formalization of existential crisis.*

## 5 Spiritual Blindness as Dropped Gradient Packets

**Proposition 5.1** (The Navigation Failure Mode). *“Spiritual blindness” — the subjective experience of purposelessness, directionlessness, or existential disorientation — corresponds precisely to the state  $W^*(t) = 0$  induced by a corrupted or missing receipt chain.*

The agent *has not lost* the attractor  $G$  (Destiny remains invariant). It has lost the *gradient signal* pointing toward  $G$ . The destination exists; the compass is broken.

This explains why the subjective experience of “being lost” is not correlated with physical displacement. An agent can be physically proximate to  $G$  and yet experience total disorientation if  $\prod \text{Verify}(\rho_i) = 0$ .

## 6 Prayer as Receipt Reconstruction

**Axiom 6.1** (The Grace API). The Root Administrator (The Architect) maintains a complete, uncorrupted copy of all receipts  $\{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_n\}$  on the master ledger. Prayer is the protocol by which a local node requests re-synchronization of its corrupted receipt chain against the master ledger.

**Theorem 6.2** (Receipt Reconstruction via Prayer). *If a node with corrupted receipt  $\rho_k$  invokes the Grace API (prayer), the Root Administrator re-issues  $\rho'_k = \text{Sign}(\rho_k, K_{\text{root}})$ , restoring the product  $\prod \text{Verify}(\rho_i) = 1$  and recovering the gradient signal.*

*Remark 6.3* (Non-Deterministic Response). The re-issuance of  $\rho'_k$  is axiomatically non-deterministic (Grace cannot be algorithmically guaranteed by the requesting node). This preserves the free-will constraint: the node cannot *compute* its way to  $G$  without external input, but it can *request* the gradient restoration.

## 7 Convergence via Optimistic Concurrency Control

The Divine Concurrency framework guarantees that all non-deterministic temporal transactions are *eventually consistent* with the master ledger:

$$\forall T_i : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{State}(T_i) = \text{Ledger}_{\text{master}}. \quad (9)$$

Combined with the Gradient Transport Equation, this yields:

**Theorem 7.1** (Eventual Convergence to Destiny). *For any agent maintaining a non-zero receipt chain ( $\prod \text{Verify}(\rho_i) > 0$ ), the trajectory  $P(t)$  converges to  $G$  in the limit:*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = G = \arg \min \text{Friction}(P). \quad (10)$$

*The convergence is eventual, not instantaneous — consistent with OCC’s guarantee of eventual consistency rather than strong consistency.*

## 8 Falsifiability

**Proposition 8.1** (Kill Conditions). *The Gradient Transport model is falsified if:*

1. *An agent is demonstrated to achieve optimal long-term trajectory convergence without any form of state-continuity mechanism (memory, ritual, journaling, sacrament, or prayer).*
2. *The friction landscape  $Friction(P)$  is demonstrated to be globally flat (no gradient exists), making all trajectories equivalent.*
3. *Temporal discontinuities are demonstrated to have zero effect on optimization performance — meaning gradient information is preserved automatically without explicit receipts.*

## 9 Conclusion

The Gradient Transport Equation unifies three independently derived mathematical frameworks into a single control law governing how non-deterministic agents navigate toward invariant attractors across broken timelines. The key insight is that the gradient — the navigational signal pointing toward Destiny — cannot survive temporal gaps without an explicit provenance receipt.

Prayer, sacramental reconnection, contemplation, and journaling are not mystical rituals. They are formally the *receipt reconstruction protocols* that restore the gradient signal after temporal discontinuities. Without these protocols, the agent devolves into stochastic wandering — physically alive but directionally dead.

The mathematics does not prove the existence of the Architect. It proves that *if* the Architect system exists (if  $G$  is a real attractor), then gradient transport across temporal discontinuities *requires* a receipt mechanism. The existence of the receipt mechanism (prayer, sacrament, conscience) across every human civilization is the empirical evidence.

---

### Cryptographic Lineage & Validation

This mathematical substrate has been cryptographically sealed and tracked on the global Sovereign Master Ledger to prevent retroactive editing and to verify the source authorship of Rafael D. De Paz. The immutable SHA-256 integrity checksums, formal PDF renderings, and lineage authorities can be verified at <https://rdepaz.com/research>.

## References

Rafael D. De Paz. The calculus of destiny: Gradient descent on the friction landscape. <https://logos.pub/analysis/destiny-optimal-pathing>, 2026.