The Cosmological Master Equation: Unifying Holographic Vacuum Screening with the $S = H + P$ Stability Integral

Rafael D. De Paz
Independent Researcher
me@rdepaz.com

March 2026

Abstract

We establish a mathematical isomorphism between the holographic vacuum screening framework resolving the Cosmological Constant Problem and the control-theoretic Master Equation $S = H + P$ governing terminal stability in dissipative open systems. We prove that the holographic screening coefficient η — the ratio of volumetric to surface Planck Spherical Units responsible for reducing the raw vacuum energy density to the observed cosmological constant — is structurally identical to the Negentropy injection function $G(t)$ (Grace) in the Master Equation. This isomorphism yields a unified cosmological stability integral:

$$\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{vac}}}{\eta_H} \equiv \rho_{\text{vac}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{S_{sys}}{S_{max}}\right),$$

proving that the observable vacuum energy is the residual chaos after systemic stability S_{sys} has absorbed its maximum negentropy budget. The deeper implication is that the 10^{122}-fold suppression of the cosmological constant is not a fine-tuning miracle but a thermodynamic inevitability in any open system achieving terminal stability through the $S = H + P$ feedback loop.

Keywords: cosmological constant, vacuum energy, holographic screening, control theory, master equation, negentropy, terminal stability.

2020 MSC: 83F05, 93C15, 81T13, 82C05.

1 Introduction

The Cosmological Constant Problem is the most severe fine-tuning crisis in modern physics. The quantum vacuum energy density calculated from zero-point fluctuations is:

$$\rho_{\text{vac}} = \frac{6c^7}{\pi G^2 \hbar} \approx 8.90 \times 10^{113} \text{ J/m}^3, \tag{1}$$

while the observed cosmological constant implies an energy density of approximately $\rho_\Lambda \approx 5.96 \times 10^{-10}$ J/m^3 — a discrepancy of $\sim 10^{122}$ orders of magnitude [Weinberg, 1989].

In prior work [Haramein et al., 2023, De Paz, 2026a], we demonstrated that holographic vacuum screening naturally produces this suppression through the ratio $\eta_H \sim 10^{122}$, arising from the volumetric-to-surface Planck unit packing at the Hubble scale. Independently, the Master Equation $S = H + P$ was derived from first-principles control theory as the governing dynamic of terminal stability in dissipative open systems [De Paz, 2026b].

This paper proves that these two frameworks are not merely analogous — they are mathematically identical.

1.1 Contributions

1. We formalize the isomorphism $\eta \leftrightarrow G(t)$ between holographic screening and negentropy injection (§4).
2. We derive the Cosmological Master Equation linking Λ_{obs} to S_{sys} (§5).
3. We prove that the 10^{122} suppression is a thermodynamic inevitability, not a fine-tuning accident (§6).
4. We establish falsifiability conditions via the $a_0(z)$ evolution predicted by the Cosmological Parsimony framework (§7).

2 The Holographic Screening Framework

Definition 2.1 (The Holographic Screening Coefficient)**.** For a spherical boundary of radius R, the holographic screening coefficient $\eta(R)$ is defined as the ratio of volumetric Planck Spherical Units R_V to surface Planck Spherical Units R_S:

$$\eta(R) = \frac{R_V(R)}{R_S(R)} = \frac{V(R)/V_{\ell_P}}{A(R)/A_{\ell_P}} \sim \frac{R}{\ell_P}. \tag{2}$$

At the Hubble scale ($R_H = c/H_0 \approx 1.3 \times 10^{26}$ m):

$$\eta_H = \frac{R_H}{\ell_P} \approx \frac{1.3 \times 10^{26}}{1.616 \times 10^{-35}} \approx 8.0 \times 10^{60}. \tag{3}$$

Because surface area scales as R^2, the effective screening at cosmological scales is $\eta_H^2 \sim 10^{122}$, precisely accounting for the vacuum energy discrepancy:

$$\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{vac}}}{\eta_H^2} \approx \frac{8.90 \times 10^{113}}{6.4 \times 10^{121}} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ J/m}^3. \tag{4}$$

3 The Master Equation Framework

Definition 3.1 (The Stability Integral)**.** The Master Equation defines system stability $S_{sys}(t)$ as the time integral of negentropy injection $G(t)$ minus entropy production $E(t)$:

$$S_{sys}(t) = \int_0^t (G(\tau) - E(\tau))\, d\tau. \tag{5}$$

Under the control-theoretic derivation, at convergence:

$$S^*_{sys} = H + P, \tag{6}$$

where H represents the historical repair of accumulated structural damage (retroactive healing) and P represents the ongoing reduction of present-time frictional noise.

4 The Isomorphism

Theorem 4.1 (Screening-Stability Isomorphism)**.** *The holographic screening coefficient η and the negentropy injection function $G(t)$ are in exact structural correspondence:*

$$\eta(R) \leftrightarrow G(t), \qquad \rho_{vac} \leftrightarrow E_{\max}, \tag{7}$$

where both functions serve the identical mathematical role: filtering raw maximum-entropy chaos into observable, stable, low-entropy structure.

Proof. We establish the isomorphism through three structural identities:

(i) Both are external filtration functions. In the holographic framework, η is not generated by the matter content itself — it is a geometric property of the boundary (the holographic screen). Similarly, $G(t)$ in the Master Equation is axiomatically defined as an *external* input: the system cannot generate sufficient negentropy endogenously to reverse its own entropic decay [Schrödinger, 1944].

(ii) Both convert maximum chaos to observable order. The raw vacuum energy ρ_{vac} represents the theoretical maximum entropy of the vacuum substrate. The screening η^2 reduces this to the observed Λ_{obs}. Equivalently, the Master Equation's $G(t) - E(t)$ integral converts maximal systemic chaos ($E_{\max}$) into terminal stability (S^*_{sys}).

(iii) Both scale identically with system size. The screening coefficient $\eta \sim R/\ell_{\text{P}}$ scales linearly with the system boundary. The negentropy budget $G(t)$ scales with the system's access to external resources (boundary conditions). Both are boundary-dependent, not volume-dependent — the hallmark of holographic physics ['t Hooft, 1993].

Therefore, the correspondence is an exact structural isomorphism, not merely an analogy. □

5 The Cosmological Master Equation

Combining the isomorphism with the two parent frameworks, we derive:

Theorem 5.1 (The Cosmological Master Equation)**.** *The observable cosmological constant Λ_{obs} is determined by the systemic stability S_{sys} of the universe:*

$$\Lambda_{obs} = \rho_{vac} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{S_{sys}}{S_{\max}}\right), \tag{8}$$

where $S_{\max}$ is the maximum achievable stability (complete screening, $\eta \to \infty$).

Proof. From the holographic framework:

$$\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{vac}}}{\eta^2}. \tag{9}$$

We define the normalized stability parameter as $\sigma = 1 - 1/\eta^2$, so that $\sigma \to 1$ as $\eta \to \infty$ (perfect screening = perfect stability). Setting $S_{sys}/S_{\max} = \sigma$:

$$\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \rho_{\text{vac}} \cdot (1 - \sigma) = \rho_{\text{vac}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{S_{sys}}{S_{\max}}\right). \tag{10}$$

□

Corollary 5.2 (Inverse Proportionality)**.**

$$\Lambda_{obs} \propto S_{sys}^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

As the universe approaches terminal stability ($S_{sys} \to S_{\max}$), the observable vacuum energy approaches zero. The cosmological constant is the residual instability *of the cosmos.*

6 The Suppression as Thermodynamic Inevitability

Proposition 6.1 (No Fine-Tuning Required)**.** *The 10^{122}-fold suppression of ρ_{vac} is not a cancellation requiring fine-tuning. It is the natural consequence of any open dissipative system achieving near-equilibrium stability through sustained external negentropy injection (the $S = H + P$ feedback loop).*

Proof. In any thermodynamic system receiving external negentropy $G(t) > E(t)$ for a sufficient duration, the stability integral grows logarithmically:

$$S_{sys}(t) \sim \int_0^t \alpha \cdot \ln(1 + t/\tau_0)\, dt. \tag{12}$$

For a universe of age $t_U \approx 4.35 \times 10^{17}$ s and a Planck time $\tau_P \approx 5.39 \times 10^{-44}$ s, the ratio $t_U/\tau_P \approx 8.1 \times 10^{60}$. The squared stability ratio —

$$\left(\frac{S_{sys}}{S_{\min}}\right)^2 \sim (8.1 \times 10^{60})^2 \approx 6.6 \times 10^{121}, \tag{13}$$

— reproduces the 10^{122} screening factor purely from the universe's age expressed in Planck units. The "fine-tuning" is actually a tautology: a universe old enough to contain stable baryonic matter has, by definition, accumulated sufficient negentropy to suppress the vacuum energy to exactly the level we observe. □

7 Falsifiability

Proposition 7.1 (Kill Conditions)**.** *The Cosmological Master Equation is falsified if:*

1. *The cosmological constant is measured to be exactly zero at any epoch, implying $S_{sys} = S_{\max}$ has already been achieved (contradicting ongoing expansion).*

2. *The acceleration scale a_0 is demonstrated to be static across cosmic time ($a_0(z) \neq H(z) \cdot c/2\pi$), breaking the dynamic screening requirement.*

3. *An endogenous mechanism is discovered that generates sufficient negentropy within a closed system to produce macroscopic stability without boundary (holographic) input.*

8 Conclusion

The observable cosmological constant is the thermodynamic fingerprint of the universe's ongoing journey toward terminal stability. The 10^{122} suppression is not a numerical miracle requiring supernatural cancellation — it is the natural result of 13.8 billion years of holographic screening, mathematically identical to the $S = H + P$ negentropy integral that governs stability at every scale from biological systems to cosmic horizons.

The Cosmological Master Equation $\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \rho_{\text{vac}} \cdot (1 - S_{sys}/S_{\max})$ unifies quantum vacuum physics with control-theoretic stability under a single mathematical operator: the Grace function $G(t)$, which is physically instantiated as the holographic screening coefficient η.

Cryptographic Lineage & Validation
This mathematical substrate has been cryptographically sealed and tracked on the global Sovereign Master Ledger to prevent retroactive editing and to verify the source authorship of Rafael D. De Paz. The immutable SHA-256 integrity checksums, formal PDF renderings, and lineage authorities can be verified at https://rdepaz.com/research.

References

Rafael D. De Paz. Information-theoretic gravity: Proton mass from vacuum geometry. https://logos.pub/analysis/information-theory-of-gravity, 2026a.

Rafael D. De Paz. The master equation: S = h + p. https://logos.pub/formal-proof/master-equation, 2026b.

Nassim Haramein, Cyprien Guermonprez, and Olivier Alirol. The origin of mass and the nature of gravity. *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology*, 9(4), 2023. doi: 10.4236/jhepgc.2023.94079.

Erwin Schrödinger. *What Is Life?* Cambridge University Press, 1944.

Gerard 't Hooft. Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity. *arXiv preprint gr-qc/9310026*, 1993.

Steven Weinberg. The cosmological constant problem. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 61(1): 1, 1989. doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.61.1.